

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,153	0/799,153 03/11/2004		Chikara Ishizaka	81864.0034	7908
26021	7590	06/20/2006		EXAMINER	
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.				SHEEHAN, JOHN P	
500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611				1742	
				DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
055 4 4' 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10/799,153	ISHIZAKA ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	John P. Sheehan	1742						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	April 2006.							
·= · ·								
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		s, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9</u> is/are pending in the app	lication							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	•							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		Abo Evenines						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	•						
Tribe oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	mice Action of form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life in the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life in the certified copies of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	08) 5) Notice of Info	Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>June 6, 2005</u> .	6)							

Application/Control Number: 10/799,153 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. Note that the FORM PTO-1449 submitted with the Information Disclosure Statement submitted June 6, 2005 is attached to this Office action. The Examiner had overlooked reference US 2002/0017340 when first considering the IDS while preparing the first Office action. Accordingly, the Examiner is remailing said FORM PTO-1449 with US 2002/0017340 initialed and the remaining references crossed out since the Examiner had previously considered those references.

Terminal Disclaimer

2. The terminal disclaimer filed on April 14, 2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Patent No. 6,811,620 and Serial Nos. 10/675,230 and 10/675,912 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Status of the Rejections made in the First Office Action

3. All of the rejections made in the First Office action have been overcome by applicants' response submitted April 14, 2006. The claims are now rejected as follows.

Claim Interpretation

Art Unit: 1742

4. In view of the use of the open terminology "comprising" used in applicants claims 1, line 2, both occurrences, applicants claims are considered to be open to any additional elements and phases.

The transitional term "comprising", which is synonymous with "including," "containing," or "characterized by," is inclusive or open-ended and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements or method steps. See, e.g., >Mars Inc. v. H.J. Heinz Co., 377 F.3d 1369, 1376, 71 USPQ2d 1837, 1843 (Fed. Cir. 2004)

See MPEP 2111.03

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1 to 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uchida et al. (Uchida, US Patent No. 6,468,365, cited by the Examiner in the first Office action).

Uchida teaches a sintered R-T-B rare earth permanent magnet having a composition that overlaps the composition recited in applicants' claims (column 2, lines 14 to 23) and that is made by a process that is similar to, if not the same as, applicants' disclosed method of making the instantly claimed magnet (column 2, lines 36 to 60 and Example 1 compared to applicants' Example 1). Uchida teaches that the disclosed

Art Unit: 1742

sintered magnet has a first and second main phase each having the $R_2T_{14}B$ structure and a boundary layer phase, wherein the second main phase has a lower rare earth content than the grain boundary phase (column 2, lines 1 to 6).

The claims and Uchida differ in that Uchida does not teach the exact same proportions as recited in the instant claims, Uchida is silent with respect to "a platy or acicular product" (claim 1, line 8) and Uchida is silent with respect to the properties recited in claims 2 to 4, 6 and 7.

However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have considered the invention to have been obvious because the alloy proportions taught by Uchida overlap the instantly claimed proportions and therefore are considered to establish a prima facie case of obviousness. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any portion of the disclosed ranges including the instantly claimed ranges from the ranges disclosed in the prior art reference, particularly in view of the fact that;

"The normal desire of scientists or artisans to improve upon what is already generally known provides the motivation to determine where in a disclosed set of percentage ranges is the optimum combination of percentages", In re Peterson 65 USPQ2d 1379 (CAFC 2003).

Also, In re Geisler 43 USPQ2d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 1997); In re Woodruff, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (CCPA 1976); In re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549, 553 (CCPA 1974) and MPEP 2144.05.

Regarding the "platy or acicular product" (claim 1, line 8) and the properties recited in claims 2 to 4 and 7, it is the Examiner's position that, in view of the fact that Uchida's sintered rare earth permanent magnet has a composition that overlaps the

Art Unit: 1742

composition recited in the instant claims and is made by a process which is similar to, if not the same as, applicants' process of making the instantly claimed sintered rare earth permanent magnet, Uchida's sintered rare earth permanent magnet would be expected to posses all the same properties as recited in the instant claims, In re Best, 195 USPQ, 430 and MPEP 2112.01.

"Where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established, In re Best, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). When the PTO shows a sound basis for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not.' In re Spada,15 USPQ2d 655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). Therefore, the prima facie case can be rebutted by evidence showing that the prior art products do not necessarily possess the characteristics of the claimed product. In re Best,195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977)." see MPEP 2112.01.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Sheehan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1249. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (6:45-4:30) Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,153 Page 6

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John P. Sheehan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

jps